

Suite 1010, 55 University Avenue, TORONTO, ONTARIO M5J 2H7 PHONE: 416-364-3123 E-Mail: <a href="mailto:blackwell@tcn.net">blackwell@tcn.net</a>

# Management's Discussion & Analysis-For the Nine months ended November 30, 2009

#### General

This Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) is dated January 27, 2010, and reflects the quarter and nine months ended November 30, 2009 and should be read in conjunction with the unaudited financial statements for the three and nine months then ended and the audited financial statements for the year ended February 28, 2009 and the notes thereto in both cases. These financial statements, as well as press releases issued by the Company and other information, are available either at the Company's website: www.wasecoresources.com, or at SEDAR: www.sedar.com.

This MD&A is required to contain prospective and forward looking statements. The Company is not in the practice of making forecasts, financial or otherwise, as it believes its business of mineral exploration and development is not sufficiently foreseeable to permit such forecasts to be made with any accuracy. To the extent that it is obliged to include such prospective information herein, the Company claims the protection of safe harbour legislation and generally cautions readers that all forward looking statements are subject to change, inherent risks and uncertainties of many kinds. All statements made herein are made in good faith and in their belief as to best information and expectation available but no guarantee can be provided nor should any be inferred from any forward looking statement.

### **Description of the Business**

The Company is a Tier 2 junior exploration company, listed on the TSX Venture Exchange ("WRI") and on the Frankfurt Exchange ("WSE"), engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mineral properties. The authorized capital is comprised of an unlimited number of no par value shares. The Company is a reporting issuer in the provinces of Ontario, Alberta and British Columbia.

The Company's principal activities are currently focused on the Quebec Labrador Trough where it owns a 100% interest in an extensive number of mining claims. These properties are presently being explored by AREVA Group S.A. ("AREVA"), the world's leading integrated nuclear company (see <a href="www.areva.com">www.areva.com</a> for more information) under arrangements more fully explained below.

The Company does not hold any interests in producing or commercial ore deposits. The Company has no production revenue. There is no operating history upon which investors may rely. Commercial development of any kind will only occur in the event that sufficient quantities of ore containing economic concentrations of uranium, gold or other mineral resources are discovered. If, in the future, a discovery is made, substantial financial resources will be required to establish ore reserves. Additional substantial financial resources would be required to develop mining and processing for any ore reserves that may be discovered. If the Company were to be unable to finance the establishment of ore reserves or the development of mining and processing facilities it might be required to sell all or a portion of its interest in such property to one or more parties capable of financing such development.

The Company is subject to numerous risk factors that may affect its business prospects in the future. These include commodity prices, availability of capital, exploration risks, regulatory risks, environmental risks, competition, dependence on key personnel, potential risks relating to mineral titles and aboriginal land claims, currency risk and potential amendments to tax laws.

As at January 27, 2010, the directors and officers of the Company were:

Derek Bartlett Director

A.C.A. (Peter) Howe Chairman and Director

Richard Ekstein Director

James (Jay) Richardson Director and Secretary-Treasurer (C.F.O.)

Richard Williams Director and President (C.E.O.).

#### **Overall Performance**

The following paragraphs provide an analysis of the financial condition of the Company, results of operations, trends, events, uncertainties and industry and economic factors that affect the Company's performance.

As at November 30, 2009, the Company's cash position was \$536,099. This was an increase of \$31,821 over the previous quarter end, August 31, 2009, but a decrease of \$\$30,774 from \$566,873 at February 28, 2009. Working capital, however, increased, as detailed below and the increase in cash, above, was attributable to the receipt of the oldest amount of Exploration Tax Credits receivable; we continue to expect the receipt of the balance of the tax credits in due course. These are the most significant non-cash elements in working capital. The previous large amount of Accounts Receivable which were noted a being essentially a set-off with Accounts Payable have now been offset against those accounts payable as they were due from and to the same party, AREVA. This brings the appearance of the balance sheet more in line with the underlying reality without changing working capital or shareholders' equity.

During the three and nine month periods ended November 30, 2009, the Company's mineral properties' book value remained unchanged at \$253,639 as they had been at February 28, 2009. Further expenditures were made on the properties which might have been expected to increase their book value, but, as explained more fully below, the funding of these expenditures

by AREVA results in a corresponding decrease netting to nil change in the book value.

During the quarter and nine months ended November 30 2009, the Company had 30,311,155 common shares outstanding, unchanged from February 28, 2009.

#### **Trends**

There are no unusual trends, commitments, events or uncertainties presently known or identifiable to management that would reasonably be expected to have a material effect on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations beyond the greater than usual variability of ease or difficulty in raising capital which appears to be in an improving trend after the extremely difficult period experienced by many companies in the last year to eighteen months. The Company is fortunate that, as noted below, it does not presently or in near term prospect have any need to raise funds as it has more than enough for its present and prospective needs for the next twelve months in its available resources. The nature of the Company's business is demanding of capital for property acquisition costs, exploration commitments and holding costs. The Company intends to utilize cash on hand to meet these obligations and will continue to raise funds if necessary to augment this cash position. At the time of writing, no such need is anticipated. As AREVA has now spent its full commitment of exploration funding to earn a 50% interest in the uranium related metals, the flow of profitability the Company has enjoyed from the benefits of the exploration tax credits will now be interrupted unless a similar arrangement can be struck for further exploration.

### **Risks**

There are certain risk factors that could have material effects on the Company that are not quantifiable at present due to the nature of the Company's industry segment and other considerations.

### Exploration Development and Operating Risk

Mineral exploration involves many risks, which even a combination of experience, knowledge and careful evaluation may not be able to overcome. Operations in which the Company has a direct or indirect interest will be subject to all the hazards and risks normally incidental to exploration and development, any of which could result in work stoppages, damage to property. and possible environmental damage. None of the properties in which the Company has an interest have a known body of commercial ore as defined under NI 43-101. Development of the Company's mineral properties would follow only upon obtaining satisfactory exploration results. Mineral exploration and development involves a high degree of risk and few properties that are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. There is no assurance that the Company's mineral exploration and development activities will result in any discoveries of commercially viable bodies of ore. The long-term profitability of the Company's operations will be in part directly related to the cost and success of its exploration programs, which may be affected by a number of factors. Substantial expenditures are required to establish reserves through drilling, to develop metallurgical processes to extract the metal from the resources and. in the case of new properties, to develop the mining and processing facilities and infrastructure at any site chosen for mining. Although substantial benefits may be derived from the discovery of a major mineralized deposit, no assurance can be given that minerals will be discovered in sufficient quantities to justify commercial operations or that the funds required for development could be obtained on a timely basis.

#### Business Risk

The success of the operations and activities of the Company is dependent to a significant extent on the efforts and abilities of its management, outside contractors, experts and other advisors. Investors must be willing to rely to a significant degree on management's discretion and judgment, as well as the expertise and competence of the outside contractors, experts and other advisors. The Company does not have a formal program in place for succession of management and training of management. The loss of one or more of the key employees or contractors, if not replaced on a timely basis, could adversely affect the Company's operations and financial performance.

### Commodity Prices

The price of the Company's common shares, its financial results, exploration and development activities have been, or may in the future be, adversely affected by declines in the price of uranium, gold and/or other metals. Metal prices fluctuate widely and are affected by numerous factors beyond the Company's control such as the sale or purchase of commodities by various central banks, financial institutions, expectations of inflation or deflation, currency exchange fluctuations, interest rates, global or regional consumption patterns, international supply and demand, speculative activities and increased production due to new mine developments, improved mining and production methods and international economic and political trends. The Company's revenues, if any, are expected to be in large part derived from mining and sale of precious and base metals or interests related thereto. The effect of these factors on the price of precious and base metals, and therefore the economic viability of any of the Company's exploration projects, cannot accurately be predicted.

### Additional Capital

The exploration activities of the Company may require substantial additional financing. Failure to obtain sufficient financing may result in delaying or indefinite postponement of exploration and development of any of the Company's properties. There can be no assurance that additional capital or other types of financing would be available if needed or that, if available, the terms of such financings would be favorable to the Company. In addition, low commodity prices may affect the Company's ability to obtain financing. No such adverse impacts are presently being experienced for the reason cited above.

### Environmental and Permitting

All phases of the Company's operations are subject to environmental regulation in the various jurisdictions in which it operates. These regulations, among other things, mandate the maintenance of air and water quality standards, land reclamation, transportation, storage and disposal of hazardous waste. Environmental legislation is evolving in a manner which will require stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors, and employees. There is no assurance that future changes in environmental regulation, if any, would not adversely affect the Company's operations.

### Acquisition

The Company uses its best judgment to acquire mining properties for exploration and development. In pursuit of such opportunities, the Company may fail to select appropriate properties or acquisition candidates or negotiate acceptable agreements, including arrangements to finance the acquisitions and their development, or integrate such opportunity and their personnel with the Company. The Company cannot assure that it can complete any acquisition that it pursues or is currently pursuing, on favorable terms, or that any acquisition

completed would ultimately benefit the Company.

### Competition

The mining industry is intensely competitive in all of its phases, and the Company competes with many companies possessing greater financial resources and technical facilities than it. Competition in the mining business could adversely affect the Company's ability to acquire suitable producing properties or prospective properties for mineral exploration in the future.

#### Land Title

The Company has not sought formal title opinions on its mineral property interests in Canada and in Indonesia. Any of the Company's properties may be subject to prior unregistered agreements or transfers or native land claims and title may be affected by undetected defects. The Company has no present knowledge of any material defect in the title to any of the properties in which the Company has or may acquire an interest.

### **Review of Operations**

### Three and nine Months Ended November 30, 2009 and November 30, 2008

The Company had a net profit of \$222,631 or \$0.0073 per share for the three months ended November 30, 2009 (\$399,275 or \$0.0132 per share, for the nine months) compared to a net loss of \$9,192 or \$0.0003 per share for the three months (profit of \$192,444 or \$0.0064 per share, for the nine months) ended November 30, 2008.

Professional fees were \$9,000 for the three months (\$23,153 for the nine months) ended November 30, 2009 compared to \$3,277 and \$15,674 for the three and nine months ended November 30, 2008. These fees relate primarily to the annual audit requirement.

Office and general costs (including Shareholders' Information and regulatory costs) were \$6,204 for the three (\$38,870 for the nine) months ended November 30, 2009, compared to \$2,312 for the three (\$17,286 for the nine) months ended November 30, 2008. There were certain office and general costs directly related to exploration activities which, as a result, were charged directly to mineral properties and deferred exploration expenditures. Office and general costs may be expected to increase as business activities grow. In both cases of the above comparisons, it should be noted that the Company experiences the highest costs in the second quarter when the Company prints and sends out its Annual Report and related material for its Annual Meeting. The expenses were generally higher this year than last owing to the more substantial annual report printing costs.

### Exploration Activities- Quebec Labrador Trough Project

The principal activity carried out in the first quarter of the new fiscal year was the aerial exploration of the Du Portage uranium prospect (formerly called Blocks I and II) by a close-spaced helicopter borne Electro Magnetic (E-M) survey over an area which was subsequently diamond drilled in the second quarter. During part of the drilling program Waseco personnel were on site to gain additional first hand knowledge of the program as it was being carried out. Further information on the knowledge gained was included in the annual report mailed to shareholders in August. In the third quarter, AREVA funded the completion of the summer drilling program and undertook the compilation of the results and the filing of the assessment reports.

Management is pleased with its working relationship with AREVA. It is the world's leader in the nuclear industry, and as such, provides valuable technical expertise to the projects. It has also developed an in depth knowledge of the area, having explored northern Quebec over the past 40 years. The work being undertaken not only advances our knowledge and understanding of the properties, but also generates revenue and profitability for the Company from the tax credits on exploration expenditures, as discussed in previous reports and below. These benefits are very positive for the Company; however, they could only be expected to continue for so long as the earn-in aspects of the joint venture agreement continued, which is now substantially completed. Consideration is being given to supplementing the land package with corresponding additional funding obligations to UraMin and AREVA, however, no such further agreements have been concluded to date. The Company is also in early discussions with a third party who has expressed interest in the project and wishes to discuss further the possibility of becoming a part of the project

### History

In fiscal 2006, the Company carried out airborne geophysical exploration activities on five uranium prospects that initially covered approximately 105 square kilometres (210 claims) in the Quebec Labrador Trough. As a result, the Company decided to undertake further staking in areas contiguous to these blocks. This was reflected in corresponding increases to the Mineral Properties accounts. Waseco currently holds 775 claims, covering over 300 square kilometres.

Following an earlier joint venture with UMC Energy Inc., in 2006, the Company entered into an agreement with UraMin, a company then listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange and the AIM in London. A follow up work program of airborne geophysics and ground geochemistry was initiated as part of a program totalling \$1,600,000 that UraMin agreed to fund the Company to undertake over a two year period in order to acquire a 50% interest in the uranium and related metals discovered on the properties. In addition, UraMin agreed to make cash payments to the Company of \$300,000, which have been received. Once the initial interest has been achieved, UraMin may elect to increase its interest in the uranium and related metals identified on the properties to 70% by agreeing to fund work resulting in a bankable feasibility study.

During the year ended February 28, 2007 and as part of the agreement with UraMin Inc., additional staking was undertaken with them on a 50:50 shared cost basis. This additional staking increases the Company's total land position in this area to approximately 330 square kilometres.

In August of 2007, an initial field program of prospecting and sampling was carried out on a portion of the Du Portage claims. The results of this program were deemed to be incomplete and inconclusive by UraMin.

Subsequently, UraMin has advised the Company of the depth of presence that it has in Canada as a result of UraMin being taken over by AREVA, as discussed below. This development provides the project with access to additional regional information, as well as a significant team of qualified geologists and technicians for the undertaking and supervision of field work.

2007 also saw the commencement of work to digitize previous known exploration work carried out on the properties and surrounding lands and this work was deemed to be extremely valuable. A previous year also saw a helicopter- borne high resolution geophysical survey project completed over the Du Portage claims and the expanded Block III as well as an initial geochemical survey which included nearly 1,000 samples.

During the second quarter of the year ended February. 29, 2008, UraMin committed to fund the Company's continuing program for the second year and remitted \$450,000 to the Company in payment of the \$150,000 unrestricted payment to the Company and \$300,000 towards the program commenced in August. Following the UraMin acquisition by AREVA SA, as noted below, the Company's legal and contractual relationship with UraMin remains unchanged. The Company now works additionally with AREVA staff to integrate the respective property databases and plan and carry out work programs.

In the first quarter of the year concluded on February 28, 2009 the Company successfully negotiated the Extension Agreement with UraMin Inc. ("UraMin") and its new parent the AREVA. By this Extension Agreement, UraMin was provided additional time, to June 28, 2010, to complete its funding option to earn a 50% interest in the uranium and related metals discovered on the Company's properties, in exchange for increasing its funding option to an additional \$2,000,000 as from the signing of this agreement.

This was followed in the second and third quarters by a helicopter assisted surface exploration program of geological mapping and sampling. Results of samples taken further confirmed the presence of Uranium at surface, as reported in the Company's press releases of March 10 and April 28, 2009.

A program of approximately \$900,000 was carried out by the Company during the course of the year ended Feb. 28, 2009, all funded by UraMin, as noted above. This was designed to provide the ground information preparatory to the drilling program which had been announced for the summer of 2009. The initial drilling has been completed and any material results and the interpretation will be released and posted on the Company's website and on SEDAR) in timely fashion, following review by management and consultation with AREVA.

In terms of accounting treatment, it is noteworthy that the significant expenditure on exploration activities commenced in the second quarter of the fiscal year ended Feb. 28, 2008 and continued subsequently is not reflected in the closing balance of the Mining Properties account, as UraMin funded Waseco to undertake these activities. As such, the payments from UraMin to fund Waseco's expenditures, which otherwise would have increased the Mineral Properties account, are credited to the Mineral Properties account, effectively in matching amount, leaving the Mineral Properties account unchanged. Moreover, the refunds received and receivable from the Quebec government also go to reduce the carrying value of the Mining Properties. When these reductions result in the carrying value of a property being reduced to zero (as has occurred) further amounts are credited to income instead of reducing the value below zero. Any further net receipts with respect to the Quebec Labrador properties would be taken into income as a result of the carrying value having been reduced to nil, and this is what has substantially been responsible for the Company's recent profitability.

As a result of the current expenditures being funded by UraMin, there is no impact on the Mineral Properties as shown on the balance sheet as the amount funded by UraMin is credited to Mineral Properties completely offsetting the amount debited to the same account for the costs expended.

#### Indonesia

The Company, having previously severed its ties with its former Indonesian subsidiary, retained a significant quantity of proprietary information with respect to the Tewah Alluvial Gold project.

Having learned that the Contract of Work covering the project had been terminated, the Company is in the process of re-establishing title to significant portions of its former holdings. Once this has been substantially advanced it intends to seek a funding partner and it has received expressions of interest in this regard. Substantial progress in this matter is not expected until the re-assembly of as much as possible of the former land position has been completed. As of the date of this MD&A, this process is still in progress as the speed of reassembly has been adversely affected by multiple elections in Indonesia and new mining laws and regulations governing foreign based companies operating in Indonesia. The full implications of the new laws and regulations are not yet fully understood or established. One KP has now been obtained and another is in negotiation while two others have been applied for.

The Company continues to work with local people with considerable depth of experience with mining companies in Indonesia and a Canadian consultant who is also well experienced in the area. After considerable delay, a meeting with the relevant officials has taken place and a follow up meeting is anticipated which will hopefully result in the completion of the land re-assembly process...

Only a small additional amount was invested during the three months for the consulting assistance referred to above, but it is anticipated that there will be additional investment in furthering the re-assembly.

The Company's previously completed Final Feasibility Study on the project in Kalimantan puts it in an advanced position on this project. The recent prices for gold as well as zircon have attracted new potential investors to consider the opportunity. However, any discussions are viewed to be at a very preliminary stage at this time. The Company has expended approximately \$83,000 in this activity. This has been funded from unrestricted working capital while still leaving the Company with a healthy cash balance and more in prospect anticipated to be received in the coming quarters as a result of the receipt of exploration tax credits from the activities pursuant to the Extension Agreement.

### **Attawapiskat**

The Company has participated in a geophysical survey on the Attawapiskat Diamond Property, in northern Ontario, where it holds a 5% interest. The development of the adjacent Victor Mine by De Beers and the work on the Macfadven diamondiferous dykes to the west of the property. have rekindled interest in the camp. The Company has been informed of certain evaluation work which has been undertaken by one of the other joint owners of the property. As a result, the Company expects to be informed of plans for additional work to be done on the property and expects to contribute its proportionate share of the cost of such program. It is possible that the Company might seek to increase its share, presently standing at 5%, by contributing an amount to the program greater than its share, in the event that any participant does not opt to maintain its present interest. During a previous year, the Company received an amount of \$2,500 as its proportionate share of a payment received from De Beers for the rental of a portion of the surface rights of one of the claims and paid \$1.580 as its proportionate share of work done in the year. No additional amounts have been invested during the previous or current year but it is anticipated that there will be further investment as the exploration program continues and perhaps also further investment if the Company is provided the opportunity to increase its interest in the project.

### **Other Balance Sheet Comparisons**

The continuing increases in cash and significant fluctuations in receivables (from Refundable Provincial Exploration Tax Credits) balances over the last three years, reflect the profitability which the Company has achieved from having UraMin (and a former partner) supply funds for the Company's exploration expense and obtaining the benefit of the Exploration Tax Credits. Refundable Exploration Tax Credits increased significantly from \$403,477 at the year end to \$527,335 at May 31, 2009, \$617,353 at August 31, 2009 and \$795, 832 at November 30, 2009 as a result of the exploration expenditures incurred in the current program. Accounts Receivable and Accounts Payable have now both also decreased significantly reflecting the setoff of the offsetting amounts due from and to UraMin in connection with the program and its funding.

While Mineral Properties (which might normally be considered one of the most important balance sheet numbers) remains unchanged from the year end, the reason therefor has been explained above. However, the deficit carried forward by the Company has been significantly reduced during the quarter as a result of the profit generated as more fully explained below. Finally, what may appear to be the most significant changes in balance sheet numbers, those for Accounts Receivable and Accounts Payable, are actually offsetting decreases whose net effect is not significant.

### **Statement of Operations Comparisons**

There was an increase in net profit for the three and nine months ended November 30 2009 compared to the comparable periods primarily owing to the profitability generated by the exploration program in Quebec being much greater in the third quarter of this year resulting from its increased activity level in the quarter by comparison to last year's third quarter. Further, it should be noted that the recognition of the elimination of the valuation allowance in last year's first quarter was reversed at the year end owing to changing circumstances. The Company continues to build its CDE and CEE pools while continuing to earn net profits without income taxes becoming exigible.

Management fees were a larger expense than in the previous year as a result of their only having commenced in the second quarter of last year (and as a result of a modest under-accrual at the year end which was expensed in the first quarter). Management fee income increased during the quarter and nine months reflecting the greater level of expenditure in the Quebec Labrador Trough project. Such expenses are the basis for the calculation of the Company's Management Fee income. Overall, the profitability from the combined management fee income and Exploration Tax Credits continues to be at very satisfactory levels for a junior exploration company, but continuation of this favourable situation is not assured.

The fluctuating profitability reflects the variability of exploration activities. We anticipate an absence of exploration activity next quarter with a corresponding elimination of profitability, subject to UraMin opting to continue its activities with the Company by entering into a new exploration agreement to earn in on additional properties and fund the corresponding program to do so.

### Other Cash Flow Comparisons

The main elements of the Statement of Cash Flows have been discussed above under Balance Sheet Comparisons or Statements of Operations Comparisons. Over \$40,000 of Provincial

Exploration Tax Credit was received and accrued amounts are anticipated to be received in future periods.

The Company's main focus is on its uranium properties. It continues to review other projects that it believes would create added shareholder value while putting primary emphasis on acquiring additional properties of merit in the vicinity of its Quebec Labrador Trough properties.

### **Liquidity and Solvency**

The Company's ability to conduct exploration and development on its properties has traditionally been primarily based upon its ability to enter into attractive joint venture arrangements with third parties to undertake exploration and development expenditures on its behalf or to fund it to undertake them. As the Company builds its resources from its profitable operations, its ability to undertake additional activities on its own has been enhanced.

Further funding for any corporate purposes will be forthcoming from refunds of costs of work undertaken which will be provided by the Quebec provincial Government pursuant to the Quebec Income Tax Act and such further equity or other financing as the Company may feel advisable.

The Company's present and prospective resources from amounts anticipated from collection of receivables (the refunds from Quebec incentive program) represent sufficient funds in prospect to fund administrative costs and modest exploration and development of its own. If UraMin having completed its earn in elects not to exercise its option to increase its interest to 70% by advancing to a bankable feasibility study, the Company would anticipate participating with UraMin in further expenditure in the 50:50 joint venture which would be formed. There is no assurance that UraMin/ AREVA will commit to a joint venture, in which event the Company would retain 100% of the Quebec Labrador Trough claims.

It is also the Company's intention to seek a joint venture partner for the development of its Indonesian project and it is optimistic from initial expressions of interest that this will be achievable, particularly in the currently favourable environment of strong prices both for gold and for zircon, an important prospective by-product in this project. The Company will address these possibilities more rigorously when the property re-assembly has been completed.

### **Dividends**

The Company has neither declared nor paid any dividends on its Common Shares. The Company intends to retain its earnings, if any, to finance growth and expand its operations and does not anticipate paying any dividends on its Common Shares in the foreseeable future.

### **Off Balance Sheet Arrangements**

There are no off-balance sheet arrangements.

### **Related Party Transactions**

There were no related party transactions during the year beyond the payment or accrual of \$9,000 quarterly in management fees paid or payable to three officers and/or directors comparable to the prior year.

### Assessment of Recoverability of GST and Tax Credits Recoverable

The carrying amounts of GST and Exploration Tax Credits Recoverable are considered representative of their respective values. The Company assesses the likelihood that these receivables will be recovered and, to the extent that recovery were to be considered doubtful, a provision for doubtful accounts would be recorded.

### **Assessment of Recoverability of Mineral Property Costs**

The Company's recorded value of its exploration properties is based on that portion of historical costs that are not reimbursed by third party funding or other amounts to be recovered related to them and that are expected to be recovered in the future. The Company's recoverability evaluation is based on market conditions for minerals, underlying prospects or mineral resources associated with the properties and future costs that may be required for ultimate realization through mining operations or by sale. The carrying value is significantly less than might be expected given the significant exploration expenses that have been incurred to understand them better, owing to the factors already explained above

### **Change in Accounting Policy**

### New Accounting Standards

In February, 2008, the Company adopted the following new CICA accounting standards. Section 1535 Capital Disclosures establishes disclosure requirements regarding an entity's capital, including (i) an entity's objectives, policies, and processes of managing capital; (ii) quantitative data about what the entity regards as capital; (iii) whether the entity has complied with any externally imposed capital requirements; and (iv) if it has not complied, the consequences of such noncompliance. The new standard has had no impact on the Company's financial statements. Sections 3862 Financial instruments – Disclosures and 3863 Financial Instruments – Presentation replace Section 3861 Financial Instruments – Disclosure and Presentation, revising and enhancing disclosure requirements while leaving presentation requirements unchanged. These new sections place increased emphasis on disclosures about the nature and extent of risks arising from financial instruments and how the entity manages those risks. The new standards have had no material impact on the Company's financial statements.

Adoption of these standards did not require the Company to restate prior periods as these new standards have been adopted prospectively. The Company adopted new accounting standards issued by the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants relating to the accounting for and disclosure of financial instruments and comprehensive income.

Effective January 1, 2009, the CICA has issued a new standard which may affect the financial disclosures and results of operations of the Company for interim and annual periods beginning January 1, 2009. The Company adopted the requirements commencing in the year ended February 28, 2009. Section 3064, Goodwill and intangible assets, establishes revised standards for recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of goodwill and intangible assets. Concurrent with the introduction of this standard, the CICA withdrew EIC 27, Revenues and Expenses during the pre-operating period. As a result of the withdrawal of EIC 27, the Company will no longer be able to defer costs and revenues incurred prior to commercial production at

new operations. The adoption of this new policy will have no impact on the Company at the present time.

There has been no change to accounting policy during the period other than as previously disclosed.

Management is cognizant of the requirement that all public companies change their reporting to a system that conforms with International Financial Reporting Standards by December 31, 2011. Management is studying developments in this respect and has purchased a software support system to aid in the development of these transitional requirements and will conform to the necessary changes in standards and will ensure that its accounting systems will provide the necessary information in timely fashion. The first impact may be felt as early as next fiscal year when comparable IFRS standard information would need to be available for use as comparative information for the following year's quarterly reports. The Company will endeavour to keep its shareholders and others apprised of the implementation plans and their likely impacts. In view of the modest scale of the Company's operations, it is not expected that there will be any significant disruption to any of the Company's operations, but some accounting presentations will change as a result.

# **Critical Accounting Policies**

### Income Tax

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with the asset and liability method. The determination of future income tax assets and liabilities is based on the differences between the financial statement and the income tax bases of assets and liabilities, using substantively enacted tax rates in effect for the period in which the differences are expected to reverse. Future income tax assets are recorded to recognize tax benefits only to the extent that, based on available evidence, it is more likely than not that they would be realized.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are comprised of highly liquid investments with maturity of 3 months or less (or option of early encashment without significant penalty) at the date of original issue.

#### Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share are determined by dividing the net earnings by the weighted average number of ordinary shares outstanding during the financial period. Diluted earnings per share is the same as basic earnings per share except that the denominator is the fully diluted number of shares that would be outstanding if all of the options outstanding were to be exercised.

### Financial Instruments

Handbook Sections 3862 Financial instruments — Disclosures and 3863 Financial Instruments — Presentation replace Handbook Section 3861 Financial Instruments — Disclosure and Presentation, revising and enhancing disclosure requirements while leaving presentation requirements unchanged. These new sections place increased emphasis on disclosures about the nature and extent of risks arising from financial instruments and how the entity manages those risks. The Company has included disclosures recommended by the new Handbook section in its audited financial statements.

In January 2009, the CICA approved EIC 173 Credit Risk and the Fair Value of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. This guidance clarified that an entity's own credit and the credit risk of the counterparty should be taken into account in determining the fair value of financial assets

and financial liabilities including derivative instruments. This guidance is applicable to fiscals periods ending on or after January 20, 2009. The application of this new standard had no impact on the Company's operating results or financial position.

On March 27, 2009, the CICA approved EIC 174,"Mining Exploration Costs". This provides guidance on capitalization of exploration costs related to mining properties in particular and on impairment of long lived assets in general. The application of this new standard had no impact on the Company's operating results or financial position.

All financial instruments are classified into one of the following five categories: held-for-trading assets or liabilities, held-to-maturity investments, loans and receivables, available-for-sale financial assets or other financial liabilities. Held-for-trading financial instruments are measured at fair value and all gains and losses are included in net income in the period in which they arise. Available-for-sale financial instruments are measured at fair value with revaluation gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income until the instruments are derecognized or impaired. Loans and receivables, investments held-to-maturity and other financial liabilities are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method.

The Company has made the following classifications for its Financial Instruments:

Cash Held for trading Short-term investments Held for trading

Accounts and other amounts receivable

Loans and Receivables

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Other Liabilities

Transaction costs are expensed as incurred for financial instruments classified as held-for-trading. For other financial instruments, transaction costs are expensed on initial recognition.

A summary of the Company's risk exposure as it relates to financial instruments is reflected below:

### Fair Value

As at November 30, 2009, the carrying values of cash, short term investments, subscriptions receivable, GST receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities are at fair value due to their immediate or short term to maturity.

#### Credit Risk

The Company's cash and short-term investments are held with Schedule A Canadian Chartered Banks and are therefore not considered to be exposed to significant credit risk.

#### Market and Interest Rate Risk

The Company does not have any interest bearing debt. The Company invests cash, surplus to its operational needs, in investment-grade short-term deposit certificates issued by the banks where it keeps its Canadian Bank accounts. The Company periodically assesses the quality of its investments with these banks and is satisfied with the credit ratings of the banks and the investment grade of their short-term deposit certificates. The interest rates attaching to these instruments are fixed and therefore do not carry interest rate risk.

### Sensitivity Analysis

The Company has designated its cash and cash equivalents as held for trading, which is measured at fair value; the carrying amount of the financial instruments equals fair market value.

Management believes that, based on their knowledge and experience of financial markets, the following sensitivity analysis is appropriate for its cash and cash equivalents and its exposure to foreign exchange risk: The Company's funds are held primarily in short term investment grade deposits, the rates of which are fixed for varying periods. As a result, changes in interest rates would not affect the amount of interest to be earned by the Company.

As of November 30, 2009, the carrying and fair value amounts of the Company's financial instruments are approximately equal.

### Liquidity Risk

The Company's approach to managing liquidity risk is to ensure that it will have sufficient liquidity to meet liabilities when due and to plan expenditures only when funds will be available. As at November 30, 2009, the Company had current assets of \$1,361,782 (year end \$1,923,235) and current liabilities of \$224,135 (year end \$1,179,863). As a result, working capital at November 30, 2009 was \$1,137,647 up from \$743,372 at the year end. The bulk of the Company's receivables are represented amounts due from governments for tax refunds and credits and are correspondingly unpredictable as to date of receipt.

### Additional Capital

The continued exploration work by the Company may require substantial additional financing. There can be no assurance that additional capital or other types of financing would be available if needed or that, if available, the terms of such financings would be favorable to the Company. In addition, low commodity prices may affect the Company's ability to obtain financing since the mineral property investment that constitutes the primary asset of the Company is linked to such prices.

### Critical Accounting Estimates

Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. These estimates relate primarily to the recoverable value of its mineral properties and related deferred exploration costs.

These estimates involve considerable judgment and are, or could be, affected by significant factors that are outside of the Company's control. At this time, the Company has no reason to believe or expect that the amounts shown for mineral properties would not be recoverable.

#### Outlook

The business objective of the Company is the acquisition, exploration, development and production of mineral resources from properties in Canada and abroad. More particularly, the Company's primary business objective is to carry out exploration programs on the Quebec Labrador Trough properties.

If the results of the initial exploration and test drilling programs prove to be encouraging, the Company may require additional capital that may require future financings. There can be no

assurance that the Company would be able to raise such additional capital if and when required on terms it considers acceptable.

### **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

Internal controls over financial reporting are procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are properly authorized, assets are safeguarded against unauthorized or improper use, and transactions are properly recorded and reported. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance with respect to the reliability of financial reporting and financial statement preparation.

During the most recent year there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting. In view of the small size of the Company's management team and the absence of staff beyond that small team, the Company relies fundamentally on the personal involvement of two senior Officers in all transactions and their scrutiny of same.

### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that all relevant information is gathered and reported to senior management, including the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, on a timely basis so that appropriate decisions can be made regarding public disclosure. As at the end of the quarter covered by this Management's Discussion and Analysis, management of the Company, with the participation of the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer. evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as required by Canadian securities laws. Based on that evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the Company's annual Certification of Disclosure in Issuers' Annual and Interim Filings) and other reports filed or submitted under Canadian securities laws is recorded. processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by those laws and that material information is accumulated and communicated to management of the Company, including the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

### **Subsequent Events**

Following the completion of the quarter under review and prior to the date of these financial statements (January 27, 2010), there were no material subsequent events.

### **Proposed Transactions**

Management is continuously examining potential acquisitions or options of additional properties as they are presented to the Company. No such transactions are presently pending while some possibilities are in early stages of discussion. Any such transactions would be announced by way of press release promptly upon their being committed to. Referred to above is the

Company's renewed interest in the Tewah project and the expectation of entering into discussions which may lead to arrangements for its exploitation.

## **Summary of Quarterly Results**

Selected financial information for the third quarter of fiscal 2010 and each of the previous ten quarters appears below:

# **Summary of Quarterly Results**

Fiscal Period Ended	Feb '10	Feb '09	Feb '08
1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter			
Revenue	\$ 137,034	-	-
Gain (loss)	119,148	\$ 12,091	\$ (9,337)
Gain (loss) per share	0.004	0.000	(0.000)
2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter			
Revenue	104,438	383,760	173,500
Gain (loss)	57,497	189,545	117,739
Gain (loss) per share	0.002	0.006	0.004
3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter			
Revenue	490,104	7,195	12,186
Gain (loss)	399,275	(9,192)	(6,295)
Gain (loss) per share	0.0132	(0.000)	(0.000)
4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter			
Revenue		60,796	24,377
Gain (loss)		39,235	(28,537)
Gain (loss) per share		0.002	(0.002)

# **Disclosure of Outstanding Share Information**

The following table sets forth information concerning the outstanding securities of the Company as at November 30, 2009:

Common Shares of no par value	Number
Shares	30,311,155
Warrants	nil
Options	1,000,000

Management of the Company invites questions from its shareholders and will be pleased to consider how these statements may be made more useful to shareholders and others.

# **WASECO RESOURCES INC.**

"Richard Williams" President January 27, 2010